

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/728,359	12/01/2000	David Helm	СМ04662Н	4118	
22917	7590 03/26/2002				
MOTOROLA, INC.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
IL01/3RD	ALGONQUIN ROAD		MEHRA,	MEHRA, INDER P	
SCHAUMBI	JRG, IL 60196		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2663		
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002	DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

1 -

	Application No.	Applicant	s)			
	09/728,359	HELM ET A	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mehra Inder	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cove	r sheet with the corresponde	nce address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) de - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minory period will apply and will expire by statute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be conside SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	of this communication. 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>01 December 2000</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)		nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the app	olication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consider	ation.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-14 and 17-22</u> is/are rejected	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-14 and 17-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,15 and 16</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	n and/or election require	ment.				
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	·				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 December 20</u>	<u>100</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted	or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objecti	- · ·	•	• •			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed or	n is: a)∏ approve	ed b) disapproved by the E	Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are require		tion.				
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority doc 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been rece	ived in Application No	<u> </u>			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation* See the attached detailed Office action for the a	onal Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	itional Stage			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	lomestic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a prov	isional application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for one 	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper		, , ,				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/728,359

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 6-9, 12-13 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Haggerty et al** (US Patent No. 6,331,983), hereinafter Haggerty in view of **Deng et al** (US Patent No. 6,208,647), hereinafter Deng.

Regarding claims 1,13 and 22, Haggerty discloses, in reference to fig. 5, multi-cast communication involving multi-cast switches; a method comprising:

- announce information to other switches (sending from a sending host packets addressed to a multicast group), refer to col. 17 lines 17-22,col. 18 lines 24-26, and col. 32 lines 19-21;
- IGMP state machine to facilitate hosts join multicast group and reliable delivery setting a timer to ensure reliably join the multicast group (issuing, by a receiving host a join command the multicast group address) refer to col. 17 lines 22-24 and 39-42;
- reliable delivery setting a timer to ensure reliably join the multicast group (determining whether any packets are received by the receiving host with in a designated time period), col. 17 lines 22-24 and 39-42;

Art Unit: 2663

if a timer expires without any reports, there are no receivers for that group (if packets are not received by the receiving host with in the designated time period, determining that the receiving host is not reliably joined to the multicast group), refer to col. 19 lines 29-51;

Haggerty does not disclose expressly if any packets are received within designated time period, determining that the receiving host is reliably joined to the multi-cast group address;

Deng discloses the receipt of a request to join a message is received from a host before the expiry of timer, the receiver is joined, otherwise it is removed from the multi-cast group, refer to step 720 in fig. 7 and col. 5 lines 58-65;

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Deng's switching mechanism coupled to interface controller into Haggerty's multi-cast switching system in order to ensure the receiver having reliably joined with in specified time or leave with IGMP message. The suggestion/ motivation to do so would have been logical to have timer set to a value no less than the IGMP interval and monitor the arrival before the expiry of timer. It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art that the use of timer is an efficient and guaranteed technique to ensure the reliable join by receivers and use IGMP Leave message to leave multi-cast group.

Regarding claims 6 and 21, Haggerty discloses the method of claim 1, comprising:

message sent to all routers group address in multi-cast router network
communication (sending messages invluding the multi-cast group address), refer to col.
18 lines 21-36;

Art Unit: 2663

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Haggerty discloses the method of claim 7 comprising:

IGMP message to announce information (indicia) before joining a multicast group (sending indicia whether sourcing host is actively sourcing payload, recited in claim 7), refer to col. 17 lines 19-24;

- Announce "switch join group" announcement message 233, fig. 10 (issuing a join command), refer to col. 28 lines 45-64;

Regarding claim 12, Haggerty discloses reliable delivery of packets within designated time period, comprising:

- sets a timer (starting a timer having a predetermined expiration time), refer to col. 17 lines 39;
- waits for acknowledge from neighbors (determining whether packets are received by the receiving host before the expiration of time), refer to col.
 17 line 40;

Regarding claim 9, Haggerty discloses, in reference to fig. 10, host can join a multi-cast group through IGMP protocol or reports (sending from the receive host, an IGMP join message to one or more local network devices), refer to col. 14 lines 28-30, col. 17 lines 22-23 and col. 18 lines 51-52;

Art Unit: 2663

3. Claims 3-5, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Haggerty et al** (US Patent No. 6,331,983), hereinafter Haggerty in view of **Deng et al** (US Patent No. 6,208,647), hereinafter Deng and further in view of **Donahue et al** (US Patent No. 6,266,339), hereinafter Donahue.

Regarding claims 3-5 and 17-20, Haggerty discloses video data in packet (video payload), refer to 100 fig. 5;

Haggerty and Deng do not disclose test packets and payload; audio payload, multimedia payload, and multiple test packets before sending payload;

Donahue discloses audio video multi-cast channel payload, col. 11 line 56 and col. 14 lines 48-51; and data payload of the multi-cast including IP address and test pattern (packets comprise sending multiple test packets before sending payload);

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Donahue's high bandwidth broadcasting system and Deng's switching mechanism coupled to interface controller into Haggerty's multi-cast switching system in order to have packets include audio/video payload and test packets. The suggestion/ motivation to do so would have been logical to have integrated data system. It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art that the use of audio/video data transmission system capable of multi-casting to large number of receivers via single channel.

4. Claims 10, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haggerty et al (US Patent No. 6,331,983), hereinafter Haggerty in view of **Deng et al** (US

Art Unit: 2663

Patent No. 6,208,647), hereinafter Deng and further in view of Adelman et al (US Patent No.6,006,259), hereinafter Adelman.

Regarding claims 10, 11 and 14, Haggerty discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising:

- announcement signal on leaving a group (leave command), refer to col. 21 lines 37-38;
- IGMP state machine to facilitate hosts join multicast group and reliable delivery setting a timer to ensure reliably join the multicast group (issuing, by a receiving host a join command the multicast group address) refer to col. 17 lines 22-24 and 39-42;
- reliable delivery setting a timer to ensure reliably join the multicast group (determining whether any packets are received by the receiving host with in a designated time period), col. 17 lines 22-24 and 39-42;
- if a timer expires without any reports, there are no receivers for that group (if packets are not received by the receiving host with in the designated time period, determining that the receiving host is not reliably joined to the multicast group), refer to col. 19 lines 29-51;

Haggert, further discloses IGMP leave message), recited in claim 11, for leaving multi-cast group; refer to col. 21 lines 35-38; and announces to all switches (sending an IGMP leave message to onr or more local network devices, refer to col. 21 lines 35-38;

Deng discloses the receipt of a request to join a message is received from a host before

Art Unit: 2663

the expiry of timer, the receiver is joined, otherwise it is removed from the multi-cast group, refer to step 720 in fig. 7 and col. 5 lines 58-65. Deng also, further, discloses IGMP leave message, refer to col. 6 lines 4-9;

Haggerty and Deng do not disclose re-attempting to reliably join the multi-cast group address;

Adelman discloses cluster member/cluster client will try to join the cluster again (reattempting to reliably join the multi-cast group address;

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Adelman's network clustering system and Deng's switching mechanism coupled to interface controller into Haggerty's multi-cast switching system in order to ensure the receiver having reliably joined within specified time or leave with IGMP message. The suggestion/ motivation to do so would have been logical to have timer set to a value no less than the IGMP interval and monitor the arrival before the expiry of timer. It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art that the use of timer is an efficient and guaranteed technique to ensure the reliable join by receivers and use IGMP Leave message to leave multi-cast group.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2, 15 and 16are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2663

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Okanoue et al (US Patent no. 6,240,089) discloses method of multicasting for mobile host used in any one of sub networks connected to one another.
- Adelman et al (US Patent no. 6,078,957) discloses TCP/IP load balancing and failover process in an internet protocol (IP) network clustering system.
- 7. Any enquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Inder Mehra whose telephone number is (703)305-1985. The examiner can be normally reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen, can be reached on (703)308-5340.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. or faxed to: (703)872-9314

For informal or draft communications, please, label "Proposed" or "Draft".

Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Receptionist (Sixth Floor), Crystal Park 2, 2121 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/728,359

Art Unit: 2663

Inder Mehra Inder Mehra

3/18/02

March 18, 2002

Ken Va